This RCA not only provides false information, but also fails to provide pertinent details about the facility where organic materials will be processed, and the details about the disposal facility where contaminants and residual materials will be disposed, and how the City will market the compost generated from the materials it controls, and how OBG will separately manage the City's

A _	U S T	1 N (	: 1 T	YCOUN	N C I	compostable waste whether portions o
			A G E N			waste materials will be used in the bios compost operation whether this contra would need to be amended in order f contractor to accep compostables from
Austin City Council		Item ID:	for Cour 62708	ncil Action (Purc		streams of compose OUTLINE>
	·					- ti ta
Meeting Date:		ober 6, 2016				C
Department:	Purc	chasing	6.1:		_	s e
			Subje	ct		
exceed \$1,510,000, v	with three 12-n	month extensio nd \$960,000 for	n options in r the third op	provide organics processi an amount not to exceed ption, for a total contract rce of Funding	\$940,000 for	the first option, tl
	for the remain	ning 24 months		Year 2016-2017 Operating nal contract period and ex		ustin Resource a
A fiscal note is not 1	required.		r Iscal T	Note		
Purchasing Language:	services on recommend information	May 30, 2016 ded offer is the	and it closed sole offer station is inclu	est for Proposals (RFP) SI d on June 28, 2016 with or ubmitted by a responsible uded below the line. The r	ne offer receiv offeror. Addi	<mark>red. The</mark> itional
Prior Council Action:						
For More Information:	Sandy Wirt	tanen, Senior B	uyer, 512-97	74-7711		
Boards and	_					
Commission Action:	November	9, 2016 – To k	be reviewed	by the Zero Waste Adviso	ory Commissio	on.
Commission	November	9, 2016 – To ł	ve reviewed	by the Zero Waste Advise	ory Commissio	on.

The RCA fails to report that an initial RFP was released on 3-21-2016 and closed on 4-12-2016 with one offer received from the same contractor now being recommended. The RCA also fails to report that TDS notified the City on 4-12-2016 that its existing "Waste Disposal and Yard Trimmings Processing Contract" already allows for these services at an established rate to begin immediate receipt and processing of the organic materials without a contract amendment. See TDS Unsolicited Offer to Council, ZWAC and Staff dated 4-12-2016.

Can the OBG location handle the added volume of the 210,000 homes organic waste and the volumes of compostable materials expected from the contract proposed for Republic, since the staff can designate where recyclables and compostables hauled under that contract will be processed?

The contract for organics processing is needed to accommodate the expansion of the organics collection program in Austin. The current program handles material from about 14,300 homes and will be expanding based on Council approval with an additional 38,000 homes in 2017 and 52,000 homes for the next three years after that. The contract will allow the diversion of food waste that is currently being landfilled and help the City get closer to our zero waste and carbon neutral goals. RCA fails to state whether provision of services was evaluated under the existing 30-year TDS/COA "Waste Disposal and Yard Trimmings Processing Contract."

An evaluation team with expertise in this area <u>evaluated the offers</u> and scored Employee Owned Nursery Enterprises, (Ltd. dba Organics "by Gosh" as the best to provide these services based on system concept and solution, applicable experience, contingency plan, location of the drop-off facility, total evaluated cost and local business presence. It is patently

false to state

that this contract is

the only option

that residents

available to

the City and

Without a contract, the City will not be able to expand the program as anticipated, leaving residents without an organics collection program.

A complete solicitation package, including a response list, is on file in the City's Purchasing Office and is available on the City's Financial Services Austin Finance Online website. Link: <u>Solicitation Documents</u>

IPLOYEE OWNED	# months	Contract Amount	dba ORGANICS "BY Contract Amendment	Revised Amount
Original Term	36	\$ 1,510,000	n/a	n/a
xtension Option 1	12	\$ 940,000	n/a	n/a
xtension Option 2	12	\$ 950,000	n/a	n/a
tension Option 3	12	\$ 960,000	n/a	n/a
TOTAL	72	\$ 4,360,000	\$ -	\$ -

 The sole RFP respondent being recommended by City staff received an effective scoring grade of "C minus" (71), and received low scores on location and contingency plan.

• The RCA fails to include details mentioned in Bob Gedert's 5-11-2016 Memo (attached) regarding the scope of work changes made to the rebid solicitation that were not addressed in the initial solicitation, and what measures were taken to ensure adequate vendor capacity to process the increased volume and the possibility of using multiple vendors to decrease vehicle mileage driving to vendor locations.

• It is inappropriate for this RCA to not include information about the potential for these services being provided under the 30-year TDS/COA Waste Disposal and Yard Trimmings Processing Contract and what steps City staff took to consider TDS as an option for providing these services.

• Clearly City staff is refusing to use the TDS facility because TDS will not consent to the staff's demand to allow the City to take full control over compostable and recyclable materials covered under this proposed contract and the Citywide Dumpster Collection Services Contract.

See Scoring Sheet & Comments. Who was on the team?